

Formal Semantics of Natural Language

ESSLLI 2019 introductory course proposal – Language and Logic area

Yoad Winter
Utrecht University
y.winter@uu.nl

Motivation and description

Background

Modern formal semantics emerged in the late 1960s as a result of research efforts in linguistics, philosophy and mathematical logic. A major exponent of these efforts is the classical work by Montague [23], which is grounded in abstract mathematical logic and pays little attention to language as a cognitive faculty [18]. Over the last decade, this situation has changed dramatically. Experimental work in semantics and pragmatics has become more central than ever before [2, 21, 9, 12], and new empirical findings have led to new research hypotheses about presuppositions [1], implicatures [5], adjectival meanings [17, 15] and other areas that go beyond classical semantic analyses. These developments reflect more than a change of trend. Indeed, they highlight major limitations of classical Montagovian semantics: shortcomings that are inherent to the theory's original setup and motivations (psychological reality, cross-linguistic coverage and relations to pragmatics) as well as specific descriptive limitations in treating central phenomena like plurals, events, presupposition, generics, questions, and adjectives.

Despite the wide recognition of these problems with classical modeltheoretic semantics, they have not led to its abandonment. Rather than replacing it by a new paradigm, major theoretical efforts that culminated in the 1990s [11, 10, 4] introduced modifications in Montague's framework, with interfaces to generative syntax and discourse semantics. While methodological difficulties still abound in formal semantics, these interfaces have allowed semanticists to address many of its new challenges [19].

This situation makes it important for graduate students who are interested in formal semantics to be familiar with its mathematical foundations. While savvy in the specifics of quantifying-in, translation rules in fragments, or the $\hat{\ }'$ s and $\tilde{\ }'$ s of Montague's PTQ is no longer required from the professional semanticist, a sound understanding of higher-order functional denotations and the way they conspire to account for intricate facts about entailment continues to serve as an irreplaceable part of the semantic toolbox. Recent developments in areas like presuppositions, plurality and event semantics are profitably analyzed using this toolbox.

With this consideration, the proposed course will give a quick introduction to the foundations of modeltheoretic formal semantics, illustrating the importance of these foundations for recent developments in theoretical and empirical work.

Course structure and material

The course will consist of two parts:

Part I Classes 1 and 2 will cover basic foundational topics: entailment, ambiguity, direct compositionality, types and model structure, with a quick introduction to the lambda calculus. Selected exercises on these topics will be recommended for students who do not have formal background in semantics.

Part II Classes 3-5 will address current work on presupposition, plurals and events, with emphasis on empirical challenges to compositionality: presupposition projection, distributive and reciprocal quantification, and modification across categories.

Each of the two parts will concentrate on direct compositionality as one of the main vehicles for analyzing linguistic meanings. Part I will use basic examples as in (1) to highlight the challenges that they introduce and their compositional treatment in classical modeltheoretic semantics:

- (1) a. Cross-categorial boolean operators: *Sue and/or Dan danced; Sue is tall and thin.*
 b. Simple quantifiers: *Every/some/no man ran; Few/most/three men ran.*
 c. Intersective modification: *No hungry man ran; Max is a hungry man.*
 d. Variable-free reflexive anaphors: *Max admires himself; Every man admires himself.*

Part II will address the challenges for compositionality that are raised by covert distributivity and reciprocity (2a), event modification (e.g. the narrow scope existential in (2b)), and presupposition projection (2c).

- (2) a. Covert distributivity: *Sue & Dan (each) won \$100; Sue & Dan met/hugged (each other).*
 b. Event modification: *No man ran quickly = $\neg\exists x.\exists e.\mathbf{man}(x)\wedge\mathbf{ran}(e,x)\wedge\mathbf{quick}(e)$*
 c. Presupposition projection: *If Max stops smoking he'll be healthier \Rightarrow Max smokes*

The course reader will include parts of my textbook [24], presentation slides and survey articles at an introductory level, which will be made available online. The class presentations will be intense but self-contained. I will partly rely on materials that I have developed over the last 20 years for graduate and undergraduate courses taught to students in computer science, linguistics, and AI at various institutions in the Netherlands, Israel and China, as well as in ESSLLI (2013,2016) and NASSLLI (2014, 2016).

For a detailed outline of the course see the next page.

Expected level and prerequisites

The course is intended for graduate students with basic mathematical and scientific background, and does not presuppose specific knowledge in logic and theoretical linguistics. It is especially suitable for graduate students in theoretical linguistics, logic, philosophy of language, AI and computational linguistics. Advanced undergraduate students in theoretical linguistics, computer science and mathematics will also be able to follow this course.

Prerequisites:

- (i) basic naive set theory, e.g. at the level of [20]
- (ii) acquaintance with the general aims of theoretical linguistics, e.g. as in [7]

Familiarity with elementary logic and philosophy of language (e.g. as in [8]) will be an advantage, but will not be presupposed.

Recommended reading

See references in the detailed course outline below.

Potential external funding

May be available, depending on some factors that are unknown at the moment (other expected expenses from a running grant)

Outline – on next page.

Outline

Class 1 – Basic notions and tools

Entailment as a core semantic intuition
The truth-conditionality criterion
Equivalence, tautology, contradiction, contingency
Comparison to philosophical and mathematical logic
Compositionality
Structural ambiguity; ambiguity vs. vagueness
Types and domains
Characteristic functions
Currying
Arbitrary, combinatorial and logical denotations

Reading: chapters 1 and 2 of [24], including exercises

Class 2 – Simple meaning composition

Using Lambda notation
Reflexive pronouns in variable-free semantics
Simple intersective modifiers
Cross-categorial coordination and negation
Simple quantifiers
Word meaning and intended models
Function application
Syntax-semantics interface
Category-to-type matching

Reading: chapter 3 of [24], including exercises

Class 3 – Plurals and distributivity

Collective reference
Effects of plural morphology
Ontology: mereology, sets, groups, impure atoms
Distributivity/reciprocity (D/R)
Lexical sources of D/R
Grammatical D/R operators: *each other*, floating
each, adverbials, derivational reciprocity,
pluractional markers
Arguments for covert D/R
Covers and pragmatic effects
Logical D/R quantifiers
Vague D/R quantifiers
On-going experimental efforts

Reading: review articles [14, 25, 3]

Class 4 – Modification and events

Adverbial modification
Event nominals
Intensional vs. non-intensional modification
Davidson's proposal
Existential closure: events and DRT
Compositional event modification
Time adverbials and argument orientation
Languages with free word order
Neo-Davidsonian approaches
Remaining Challenges

Reading: review articles [16], [13,ch.1]

Class 5 – Presupposition

Strawsonian vs. Russellian approaches
Truth-value gaps
Presupposition projection
Holes, plugs and fillers
Semantic vs. pragmatic approaches
Formal semantic account (Schlenker)
Formal pragmatic account (Stalnaker/Heim)
Accommodation
On-going experimental efforts

Reading: review articles [1, 6, 22]

References

- [1] David I. Beaver and Bart Geurts. Presupposition. In Edward N. Zalta, editor, *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. Winter 2014 edition, 2014.
- [2] Richard Breheny, Uli Sauerland, and Kazuko Yatsushiro, editors. *Semantics and Pragmatics: From Experiment to Theory*. Palgrave Macmillan UK, 2009.
- [3] Lucas Champollion. Distributivity in formal semantics. *Annual Review of Linguistics*, 2018. forthcoming.
- [4] Gennaro Chierchia. *Dynamics of Meaning: anaphora, presupposition and the theory of grammar*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1995.
- [5] Gennaro Chierchia, Danny Fox, and Benjamin Spector. Scalar implicature as a grammatical phenomenon. In Claudia Maienborn, Klaus von Heusinger, and Paul Portner, editors, *Semantics: An International Handbook of Natural Language Meaning*, volume 3, pages 2297–2332. De Gruyter, Berlin, 2012.
- [6] Kai von Fintel. Would you believe it? The king of France is back! (presuppositions and truth-value intuitions). In Marga Reimer and Anne Bezuidenhout, editors, *Descriptions and Beyond*, pages 315–341. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004.
- [7] Victoria Fromkin, Robert Rodman, and Nina Hyams. *An Introduction to Language*. Wadsworth Publishing, 11 edition, 2018.
- [8] L. T. F. Gamut. *Logic, Language and Meaning*, volume 1. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1991.
- [9] James A. Hampton and Yoad Winter, editors. *Compositionality and Concepts in Linguistics and Psychology*. Springer, 2017.
- [10] Irene Heim and Angelika Kratzer. *Semantics in Generative Grammar*. Blackwell, 1997.
- [11] Hans Kamp and Uwe Reyle. *From Discourse to Logic: Introduction to Modeltheoretic Semantics of Natural Language, Formal Logic and Discourse Representation Theory*. Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [12] Napoleon Katsos and Chris Cummins, editors. *Handbook of Experimental Semantics and Pragmatics*. Oxford University Press, 2018. forthcoming.
- [13] Fred Landman. *Events and Plurality: the Jerusalem lectures*. Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2000.
- [14] Peter Lasnik. Mass nouns and plurals. In Klaus von Heusinger, Claudia Maienborn, and Paul Portner, editors, *Semantics: An International Handbook of Natural Language Meaning*, volume 2, pages 1131–1153. De Gruyter, Berlin, 2011.
- [15] Daniel Lassiter. Adjectival modification and gradation. In Shalom Lappin and Chris Fox, editors, *Handbook of Contemporary Semantic Theory, Second edition*. Wiley-Blackwell, 2015.
- [16] Claudia Maienborn. Event semantics. In Claudia Maienborn, Klaus von Heusinger, and Paul Portner, editors, *Semantics: An International Handbook of Natural Language Meaning*, volume 1, pages 802–829. De Gruyter, Berlin, 2011.
- [17] Louise McNally and Christopher Kennedy, editors. *Adjectives and Adverbs: Syntax, semantics, and discourse*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008.
- [18] Barbara H. Partee. *History of Formal Semantics*. Materials for a book in preparation, to appear in Oxford University Press. <http://people.umass.edu/partee/Research.htm>. Accessed: 2016-4-12.
- [19] Barbara H. Partee. Montague’s “linguistic” work: Motivations, trajectory, attitudes. In Emmanuel Chemla, Vincent Homer, and Grégoire Winterstein, editors, *Proceedings of Sinn und Bedeutung 17*, pages 427–453, Paris, 2013.
- [20] Barbara H. Partee, Alice ter Meulen, and Robert E. Wall. *Mathematical Methods in Linguistics*. Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1990.
- [21] Florian Schwarz, editor. *Experimental Perspectives on Presuppositions*. Springer, 2015.
- [22] Florian Schwarz. Presuppositions, projection, and accommodation – theoretical issues and experimental approaches. In Katsos and Cummins [12]. forthcoming.

- [23] Richmond H. Thomason, editor. *Formal Philosophy: selected papers of Richard Montague*. Yale, New Haven, 1974.
- [24] Yoad Winter. *Elements of Formal Semantics: An Introduction to the Mathematical Theory of Meaning in Natural Language*. Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh, 2016. <http://www.phil.uu.nl/~yoad/efs/main.html>.
- [25] Yoad Winter and Remko Scha. Plurals. In Shalom Lappin and Chris Fox, editors, *Handbook of Contemporary Semantic Theory, Second edition*, pages 77–113. Wiley-Blackwell, 2015.