

Book Review

Elements of Formal Semantics: An Introduction to the Mathematical Theory of Meaning in Natural Language

Yoad Winter
(Utrecht University)

Edinburgh, Scotland: Edinburgh University Press (Edinburgh Advanced Textbooks in Linguistics series, edited by Peter Ackema and Mitsuhiro Ota), 2016, xi+258 pp; paperback, ISBN 9780748640430, £24.99

Reviewed by

Michael Yoshitaka Erlewine

National University of Singapore

Yoad Winter's *Elements of Formal Semantics* is a lucid, well-organized, rather concise, and opinionated introductory textbook of formal natural language semantics. "Formal semantics" here refers to the the study of sentence meaning and its tight connection to sentence structure, described with precision using mathematical methods. *Elements* introduces the central questions, concerns, and techniques of formal semantics, motivated by discussion of successively more complex phenomena in English. The end result presented is a particular flavor of categorial or type-logical grammar.

Elements is organized as a textbook and I think this certainly will be its primary use. The book claims to "not presuppose any prior knowledge in logic or theoretical linguistics" (p. 7). It would be appropriate for a first course in semantics at the graduate

or upper undergraduate level. In addition to linguistics programs, the book would be an excellent text for courses on natural language meaning and related topics in computer science, artificial intelligence, or philosophy—and in fact, the required level of fluency with mathematical notation and symbolic manipulation may make it more suited to such students, at least at the undergraduate level. This is not a text introducing computational semantics and there is no discussion of practical implementation details. In addition to its primary use as a textbook, this coverage of key topics makes the book a useful primer to the central concerns and results of formal semantics for researchers in a range of closely related disciplines.

The 200-odd-page body of the text is organized into five core chapters, sandwiched between brief introduction and conclusion chapters. After the introductory chapter, Chapter 2 introduces us to the study of sentence meaning using judgments of entailment between sentences as the main source of evidence. In a sleight of hand, Winter introduces the “truth-conditionality criterion” (p. 20)—the central hypothesis that links these intuitive judgments of entailment to abstract models—without introducing or using the notion of a “truth-condition.” The idea of compositionality is introduced, motivated by the study of a toy model of simple copular sentences, negation, and conjunction, with discussion of structural ambiguities.

Chapter 3 introduces much of the common technical concepts and notation of formal semantics, including types, (higher-order) functions and sets, Currying, and λ -notation. This chapter is particularly well organized and thought through, introducing these technical notions step by step, with examples and motivation from linguistic phenomena where possible. This chapter is one of the clearest presentations of these tools that I have seen, with the caveat above that it presupposes a certain fluency with the underlying mathematical notation and concepts. It concludes with an application

of these tools to reflexive pronouns and intersective and non-intersective attributive adjectives, as well as propositional vs predicate negation and conjunction.

Chapter 4 is concerned with the interpretation of quantifiers in natural language. Generalized quantifier theory is discussed, first with descriptions of quantifiers as relations between sets, followed by discussion of quantifiers as sets of predicates. This is followed by clear and rather standard exposition of monotonicity, negative polarity item licensing, the denotation of quantificational determiners, and conservativity.

Despite my saying that Chapters 3 and 4 are very clear—or maybe because of this—I want to reiterate again that some hand-holding will be required for students without backgrounds in e.g. mathematics or computer science. Simple familiarity with the basic concepts and notation of sets and functions is in fact insufficient; the reader must be comfortable moving quickly between these characterizations and translating between them. Take for example the discussion of quantificational determiner meanings, where in the span of just three pages (p. 116ff), the text switches between (a) a Curried, higher-order function (a function from predicates to functions from predicates to truth values), (b) a binary function (a function from pairs of individuals to truth values), and (c) a relation (a set of pairs of individuals), choosing each formulation where convenient. Typographic conventions don't help the reader, either: sometimes the variables A and B are functions (as in (4.47a)) and sometimes they are sets (as in (4.47b) right below!). I find these issues unfortunate because it would not have taken an inordinate effort to make these (otherwise great) chapters friendlier towards readers who are less mathematically-inclined.

I think of Chapter 5, entitled “Long-distance meaning relationships,” as logically two chapters and will discuss them in turn. The first half introduces the process of function abstraction through hypothetical reasoning in the tradition here called the

Lambek-Van Benthem Calculus, motivated by the discussion of non-subject quantifiers and relativization. A brief detour introduces the idea of introducing a hypothetical premise φ into a proof of conclusion ψ and then “discharging” φ later, resulting in the conclusion $\varphi \rightarrow \psi$. By analogy, in the computation of many sentences with quantifiers and relativization, we introduce a hypothetical argument (variable) u to yield the u -dependent meaning z , and then later “discharge” the hypothesis of u to yield the function $\lambda u . z$, abstracting over the value of u . This presentation is friendly and successful. There is no mention of the relation of this process of variable introduction and abstraction to syntactic movement as discussed in much contemporary work on the syntax/semantics interface, save for one brief mention later (p. 175).

The second half of Chapter 5 uses questions raised in the first half regarding the relationship between semantics and surface word order as motivation to introduce the framework known as *Abstract Categorical Grammar* (ACG) or also *Lambda Grammar*. Under this approach, lexical items (signs) have perceptual denotations which can be (higher-order) functions over strings, not just strings themselves. For example, the transitive verb *praise* has the perceptual representation $\lambda x . \lambda y . y \cdot \textit{praised} \cdot x$, where \cdot is concatenation; this encodes that the first argument of *praise* will follow the verb in surface word order and its second argument will precede the verb. This treatment of the syntax of word order will strike readers with any previous training to the intricacies and regularities of natural language syntax as terribly naive. But to be fair, these limitations are readily acknowledged by Winter himself:

“...when we talk about ‘Abstract Categorical Grammar,’ we use the term ‘grammar’ in the sense of a formal grammatical framework, with no pretensions to the wide empirical coverage that we normally expect from grammatical descriptions of natural languages... at present there is no commonly accepted way of embedding ACG within linguistic theory.” (p. 167)

The chapter ends with discussion and treatment of scope ambiguities.

Chapter 6 is an introduction to intensional semantics, motivated by classic problems of sense vs reference. After a brief and approachable survey of intensional contexts, a domain of possible worlds (or indices) is added to our models and individual concepts are introduced, deriving the desired result that *Lewis Carroll is C.L. Dodgson and John believes Lewis Carroll wrote Alice* does not entail *John believes C.L. Dodgson wrote Alice*. This is followed by a more general discussion of intensionalization using the ACG type system introduced in Chapter 5. The chapter concludes with *de re/de dicto* ambiguities and their analysis as scope ambiguities.

Finally, Chapter 7 suggests directions for further study, devoting a paragraph each to a range of additional topics in formal semantics. This is followed by a brief appendix to Chapter 3 with formal definitions for the basic typing and interpretation system.

Each of the core chapters ends with pointers to recommended further reading, at both introductory and advanced levels, which include key works in linguistics, computer science, and philosophy of language. This is followed by a set of exercises, immediately followed by selected solutions. Some exercises emphasize mechanical practice in engaging with technical notions, some are more conceptual, and some introduce new linguistic phenomena to consider. All are clear and of appropriate difficulty. Some are downright clever, like problems 5 and 6 of Chapter 2 (p. 38ff). The inclusion of selected solutions—just final results, not intermediate steps or detailed justification—will be appreciated by conscientious students and those using the text for self-study.

In reading the book as a potential text for students, a few gaps stand out. In Chapter 2, where entailment is given a central role in the empirical investigation of meaning, there is no discussion of presupposition. In fact, the definition of “entailment” (p. 16) as any indefeasible consequence will include both presuppositions and entailments proper. Also missing is discussion of motivation and diagnostics for constituency. Readers with little or no linguistics background would have to simply accept the bracketings and trees given in the text, without reliable tools to work out novel sentences on their own. There is also no discussion of languages other than English. Of course the methods presented here are broadly applicable to other languages, but in my experience it is worth making this point. Still, at the end of the day, I generally think the choice of concepts and topics covered is good, as is their depth.

The physical book (I reviewed the paperback) is relatively compact, with nice, large text. The prose feels mature and well edited, with just a small handful of typos.

Overall, *Elements* is a generally clear, precise, and friendly introduction to formal natural language semantics for students with mathematical maturity. I recommend it.

Michael Yoshitaka Erlewine is an assistant professor at the National University of Singapore. He received his PhD in Linguistics from MIT in 2014. His research interests lie in the syntax/semantics interface and linguistic theory, with a particular interest in long-distance dependencies including movement and focus. In previous work, he has investigated Mandarin Chinese, English, and Japanese, as well as a number of understudied Mayan and Austronesian languages. He thanks Brian Buccola for valuable discussion and detailed comments on this review. Erlewine’s email address is mitcho@nus.edu.sg.