Tree Adjoining Grammars Logical Methods in Natural Language Processing Lecturer: M. Moortgat

Cecilia Chávez Aguilera

May 3, 2012

Cecilia Chávez Aguilera ()

Tree Adjoining Grammars

LMNLP 1/26

Joshi, Aravind K., Leon S. Levy, and Masako Takahashi. 1975.
 'Tree Adjunct Grammars.' *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 10(2)

- Joshi, Aravind K., Leon S. Levy, and Masako Takahashi. 1975.
 'Tree Adjunct Grammars.' *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 10(2)
- TAG's have been a cornerstone in the road of Mildy-Context Sensitive Languages

- Joshi, Aravind K., Leon S. Levy, and Masako Takahashi. 1975.
 'Tree Adjunct Grammars.' *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 10(2)
- TAG's have been a cornerstone in the road of Mildy-Context Sensitive Languages
- How much context sensitivity do we need to model natural languages?

- Joshi, Aravind K., Leon S. Levy, and Masako Takahashi. 1975.
 'Tree Adjunct Grammars.' *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 10(2)
- TAG's have been a cornerstone in the road of Mildy-Context Sensitive Languages
- How much context sensitivity do we need to model natural languages?
- Polinomial Parsable

• A TAG is a tuple $G = \langle N, T, S, I, A, \rangle$ where

• A TAG is a tuple $G = \langle N, T, S, I, A, \rangle$ where

► *N* a set of non-terminal symbols.

- A TAG is a tuple $G = \langle N, T, S, I, A, \rangle$ where
 - ► *N* a set of non-terminal symbols.
 - T an alphabet of terminal symbols $N \cup T = \emptyset$

- A TAG is a tuple $G = \langle N, T, S, I, A, \rangle$ where
 - ► *N* a set of non-terminal symbols.
 - T an alphabet of terminal symbols $N \cup T = \emptyset$
 - ► *S* ∈ *N*.

- A TAG is a tuple $G = \langle N, T, S, I, A, \rangle$ where
 - ► *N* a set of non-terminal symbols.
 - *T* an alphabet of terminal symbols $N \cup T = \emptyset$
 - ► *S* ∈ *N*.
 - ▶ *I* a finite set of trees called initial trees (usually denoted by α) and

- A TAG is a tuple $G = \langle N, T, S, I, A, \rangle$ where
 - ► *N* a set of non-terminal symbols.
 - T an alphabet of terminal symbols $N \cup T = \emptyset$
 - ► *S* ∈ *N*.
 - ▶ *I* a finite set of trees called initial trees (usually denoted by α) and
 - A a finite set of trees called auxiliary trees (usually denoted by β).

• The set *A* ∪ *I* is set of *elementary trees* of *G* from which we will construct our derived trees.

- The set A ∪ I is set of *elementary trees* of G from which we will construct our derived trees.
- A tree is *Initial* if its root node is labeled with *S*. Inner and frontier nodes can be either terminal or non-terminal.

- The set *A* ∪ *I* is set of *elementary trees* of *G* from which we will construct our derived trees.
- A tree is *Initial* if its root node is labeled with *S*. Inner and frontier nodes can be either terminal or non-terminal.
- A tree is *Auxiliar* if it has a special node called its *food node*, marked with * which has the same label than its root node.

- The set *A* ∪ *I* is set of *elementary trees* of *G* from which we will construct our derived trees.
- A tree is *Initial* if its root node is labeled with *S*. Inner and frontier nodes can be either terminal or non-terminal.
- A tree is *Auxiliar* if it has a special node called its *food node*, marked with * which has the same label than its root node.
- The string language generated by G is defined to be the set

$$L(G) = \{y(t) | t \in T(G)\}$$

where T(G) is the set of all derived trees of G, and y(t) is the unique string associated with t, (the yield of t) obtained by concatenating all terminal symbols labeling the frontier of t from left to right.

• The combination operations for our grammar are *Substitution* and *Adjunction*

- The combination operations for our grammar are *Substitution* and *Adjunction*
- Substitution Let γ = ⟨V, E, r⟩ be a syntactic tree, α = ⟨V', E', r'⟩ an initial tree, and v ∈ V. Denote by α [v, γ], the result of substituting γ into α at node v, defined as follows:

- The combination operations for our grammar are *Substitution* and *Adjunction*
- Substitution Let γ = ⟨V, E, r⟩ be a syntactic tree, α = ⟨V', E', r'⟩ an initial tree, and v ∈ V. Denote by α [v, γ], the result of substituting γ into α at node v, defined as follows:
 - ▶ If *v* is no leaf or $l(v) \neq l(r)$, then α [*v*, γ] is undefined.

- The combination operations for our grammar are *Substitution* and *Adjunction*
- Substitution Let γ = ⟨V, E, r⟩ be a syntactic tree, α = ⟨V', E', r'⟩ an initial tree, and v ∈ V. Denote by α [v, γ], the result of substituting γ into α at node v, defined as follows:
 - ▶ If *v* is no leaf or $l(v) \neq l(r)$, then $\alpha[v, \gamma]$ is undefined.
 - Otherwise, $\alpha [v, \gamma] := \langle V'', E'', r'' \rangle$ with $V'' = V \cup V' \setminus \{v\}$, $E'' = \{E \setminus \{\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle | v_2 = v\} \} \cup E' \cup \{\langle v_1, r \rangle | \langle v_1, v \rangle \in E\}$

- The combination operations for our grammar are *Substitution* and *Adjunction*
- Substitution Let γ = ⟨V, E, r⟩ be a syntactic tree, α = ⟨V', E', r'⟩ an initial tree, and v ∈ V. Denote by α [v, γ], the result of substituting γ into α at node v, defined as follows:
 - ▶ If *v* is no leaf or $l(v) \neq l(r)$, then α [*v*, γ] is undefined.
 - Otherwise, $\alpha [v, \gamma] := \langle V'', E'', r'' \rangle$ with $V'' = V \cup V' \setminus \{v\}$, $E'' = \{E \setminus \{\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle | v_2 = v\}\} \cup E' \cup \{\langle v_1, r \rangle | \langle v_1, v \rangle \in E\}$
- A leaf that has a non-terminal label is called a substitution node.

- The combination operations for our grammar are *Substitution* and *Adjunction*
- Substitution Let γ = ⟨V, E, r⟩ be a syntactic tree, α = ⟨V', E', r'⟩ an initial tree, and v ∈ V. Denote by α [v, γ], the result of substituting γ into α at node v, defined as follows:
 - ▶ If *v* is no leaf or $l(v) \neq l(r)$, then $\alpha[v, \gamma]$ is undefined.
 - Otherwise, $\alpha [v, \gamma] := \langle V'', E'', r'' \rangle$ with $V'' = V \cup V' \setminus \{v\}$, $E'' = \{E \setminus \{\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle | v_2 = v\}\} \cup E' \cup \{\langle v_1, r \rangle | \langle v_1, v \rangle \in E\}$
- A leaf that has a non-terminal label is called a substitution node.
- This operation can be iterated as long as there is a substition node.

Substitution

• In a picture





Cecilia Chávez Aguilera ()

LMNLP 6/26

Example



Substituting

Derived tree

α [NP, α_1]; α [NP, α_2]



Let α = ⟨V, E, r⟩ be an initial tree, β = ⟨V', E', r', *⟩, an auxiliary tree, v ∈ V a node in α such that l(v) = N₀ some non-terminal symbol.

- Let α = ⟨V, E, r⟩ be an initial tree, β = ⟨V', E', r', *⟩, an auxiliary tree, v ∈ V a node in α such that l(v) = N₀ some non-terminal symbol.
 - Denote by α/v the subtree of α rooted at v,

- Let α = ⟨V, E, r⟩ be an initial tree, β = ⟨V', E', r', *⟩, an auxiliary tree, v ∈ V a node in α such that l(v) = N₀ some non-terminal symbol.
 - Denote by α/v the subtree of α rooted at v,
 - ▶ Denote by $\alpha \upharpoonright v$ the tree $\langle V^{\uparrow}, E^{\uparrow}, r^{\uparrow} \rangle$ where:

- Let α = ⟨V, E, r⟩ be an initial tree, β = ⟨V', E', r', *⟩, an auxiliary tree, v ∈ V a node in α such that l(v) = N₀ some non-terminal symbol.
 - Denote by α/v the subtree of α rooted at v,
 - Denote by $\alpha \upharpoonright v$ the tree $\langle V^{\uparrow}, E^{\uparrow}, r^{\uparrow} \rangle$ where:

$$\star V^{\uparrow} = V \setminus \{v \in V | v \in \lfloor v \rfloor\}$$

- Let α = ⟨V, E, r⟩ be an initial tree, β = ⟨V', E', r', *⟩, an auxiliary tree, v ∈ V a node in α such that l(v) = N₀ some non-terminal symbol.
 - Denote by α/v the subtree of α rooted at v,
 - Denote by $\alpha \upharpoonright v$ the tree $\langle V^{\uparrow}, E^{\uparrow}, r^{\uparrow} \rangle$ where:

$$\star V^{\uparrow} = V \setminus \{ v \in V | v \in \lfloor v \rfloor \}$$

$$\star E^{\uparrow} = E \setminus \{ \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle | v_1 = v \}$$

- Let α = ⟨V, E, r⟩ be an initial tree, β = ⟨V', E', r', *⟩, an auxiliary tree, v ∈ V a node in α such that l(v) = N₀ some non-terminal symbol.
 - Denote by α/v the subtree of α rooted at v,
 - Denote by $\alpha \upharpoonright v$ the tree $\langle V^{\uparrow}, E^{\uparrow}, r^{\uparrow} \rangle$ where:

$$\star V^{\uparrow} = V \setminus \{ v \in V | v \in \lfloor v \rfloor \}$$

$$\star E^{\uparrow} = E \setminus \{ \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle | v_1 = v \}$$

$$\star$$
 $r^{\uparrow} = r$

- Let α = ⟨V, E, r⟩ be an initial tree, β = ⟨V', E', r', *⟩, an auxiliary tree, v ∈ V a node in α such that l(v) = N₀ some non-terminal symbol.
 - Denote by α/v the subtree of α rooted at v,
 - Denote by $\alpha \upharpoonright v$ the tree $\langle V^{\uparrow}, E^{\uparrow}, r^{\uparrow} \rangle$ where:

*
$$V^{\uparrow} = V \setminus \{v \in V | v \in \lfloor v \rfloor\}$$

* $E^{\uparrow} = E \setminus \{\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle | v_1 = v\}$
* $r^{\uparrow} = r$

 Then, denote by β(α)_v the adjunction of β into α at node v defined in the following way:

- Let α = ⟨V, E, r⟩ be an initial tree, β = ⟨V', E', r', *⟩, an auxiliary tree, v ∈ V a node in α such that l(v) = N₀ some non-terminal symbol.
 - Denote by α/v the subtree of α rooted at v,
 - Denote by $\alpha \upharpoonright v$ the tree $\langle V^{\uparrow}, E^{\uparrow}, r^{\uparrow} \rangle$ where:

*
$$V^{\uparrow} = V \setminus \{v \in V | v \in \lfloor v \rfloor\}$$

* $E^{\uparrow} = E \setminus \{\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle | v_1 = v\}$
* $r^{\uparrow} = r$

- Then, denote by β(α)_v the adjunction of β into α at node v defined in the following way:
 - If $l(v) \neq l(r')$, then this operation is undefined

- Let α = ⟨V, E, r⟩ be an initial tree, β = ⟨V', E', r', *⟩, an auxiliary tree, v ∈ V a node in α such that l(v) = N₀ some non-terminal symbol.
 - Denote by α/v the subtree of α rooted at v,
 - Denote by $\alpha \upharpoonright v$ the tree $\langle V^{\uparrow}, E^{\uparrow}, r^{\uparrow} \rangle$ where:

*
$$V^{\uparrow} = V \setminus \{v \in V | v \in \lfloor v \rfloor\}$$

* $E^{\uparrow} = E \setminus \{\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle | v_1 = v\}$
* $r^{\uparrow} = r$

- Then, denote by β(α)_v the adjunction of β into α at node v defined in the following way:
 - If $l(v) \neq l(r')$, then this operation is undefined
 - Otherwise, do $\alpha \upharpoonright v [v, \beta]$, and call γ the resulting tree, then, do $\gamma [*, \alpha/v]$

- Let α = ⟨V, E, r⟩ be an initial tree, β = ⟨V', E', r', *⟩, an auxiliary tree, v ∈ V a node in α such that l(v) = N₀ some non-terminal symbol.
 - Denote by α/v the subtree of α rooted at v,
 - Denote by $\alpha \upharpoonright v$ the tree $\langle V^{\uparrow}, E^{\uparrow}, r^{\uparrow} \rangle$ where:

*
$$V^{\uparrow} = V \setminus \{v \in V | v \in \lfloor v \rfloor\}$$

* $E^{\uparrow} = E \setminus \{\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle | v_1 = v\}$
* $r^{\uparrow} = r$

- Then, denote by β(α)_v the adjunction of β into α at node v defined in the following way:
 - If $l(v) \neq l(r')$, then this operation is undefined
 - Otherwise, do $\alpha \upharpoonright v [v, \beta]$, and call γ the resulting tree, then, do $\gamma [*, \alpha/v]$
- This operation can always be iterated

Combination operations

Adjunction In a picture



Example Copy Language


Adjunction

Derived tree



イロト イポト イヨト 小臣 トー臣

Adjunction

Derived tree



Cecilia Chávez Aguilera ()

• Tree Substitution Grammars (TSG)

• Tree Substitution Grammars (TSG)

CFG can be seen as a special case of TSG

Tree Substitution Grammars (TSG)

- CFG can be seen as a special case of TSG
- TSG's are not enough to strongly lexicalize CFG

- Tree Substitution Grammars (TSG)
 - CFG can be seen as a special case of TSG
 - TSG's are not enough to strongly lexicalize CFG
- TAG'S can be restricted to only the Adjunction operation

- Tree Substitution Grammars (TSG)
 - CFG can be seen as a special case of TSG
 - TSG's are not enough to strongly lexicalize CFG
- TAG'S can be restricted to only the Adjunction operation
 - Substitution can be simulated by adjunction.

- Tree Substitution Grammars (TSG)
 - CFG can be seen as a special case of TSG
 - TSG's are not enough to strongly lexicalize CFG
- TAG'S can be restricted to only the Adjunction operation
 - Substitution can be simulated by adjunction.
 - Restrictions over adjunction. No Adjunction. Obligatory Adjunction, Selected Adjunction.

- Tree Substitution Grammars (TSG)
 - CFG can be seen as a special case of TSG
 - TSG's are not enough to strongly lexicalize CFG
- TAG'S can be restricted to only the Adjunction operation
 - Substitution can be simulated by adjunction.
 - Restrictions over adjunction. No Adjunction. Obligatory Adjunction, Selected Adjunction.
 - TAG's do Strongly lexicalize CFG

- Tree Substitution Grammars (TSG)
 - CFG can be seen as a special case of TSG
 - TSG's are not enough to strongly lexicalize CFG
- TAG'S can be restricted to only the Adjunction operation
 - Substitution can be simulated by adjunction.
 - Restrictions over adjunction. No Adjunction. Obligatory Adjunction, Selected Adjunction.
 - TAG's do Strongly lexicalize CFG
- Parsable in $O(n^6)$ over the length of the string to be parsed

- Tree Substitution Grammars (TSG)
 - CFG can be seen as a special case of TSG
 - TSG's are not enough to strongly lexicalize CFG
- TAG'S can be restricted to only the Adjunction operation
 - Substitution can be simulated by adjunction.
 - Restrictions over adjunction. No Adjunction. Obligatory Adjunction, Selected Adjunction.
 - TAG's do Strongly lexicalize CFG
- Parsable in $O(n^6)$ over the length of the string to be parsed
- Constant growth property ?

• Let L, and L' be tree adjoining languages, then the following are TAL as well:

- Let L, and L' be tree adjoining languages, then the following are TAL as well:
- $L \cap L'$

- Let L, and L' be tree adjoining languages, then the following are TAL as well:
- $\bullet \ L \cap L'$
- $\bullet \ L \cup L'$

- Let L, and L' be tree adjoining languages, then the following are TAL as well:
- $\bullet \ L \cap L'$
- $\bullet \ L \cup L'$
- L*

- Let L, and L' be tree adjoining languages, then the following are TAL as well:
- $\bullet \ L \cap L'$
- $\bullet \ L \cup L'$
- L*
- L · L′

- Let L, and L' be tree adjoining languages, then the following are TAL as well:
- $\bullet \ L \cap L'$
- $\bullet \ L \cup L'$
- L*
- L · L′
- $L \cap R$ with R a regular language

 Extended domain of locality. A domain over which various dependencies (syntactic and semantic) can be specified. Joshi, A. K.
'Domains of Locality', *Data and Knowledge Engineering* Vol. 50 Issue 3 2004

- Extended domain of locality. A domain over which various dependencies (syntactic and semantic) can be specified. Joshi, A. K.
 'Domains of Locality', *Data and Knowledge Engineering* Vol. 50 Issue 3 2004
- Altough here and there it has been stated that TAG's are closed under strong lexicalization, recently, it has been shown that TAG's are NOT closed under strong lexicalization:

Kuhlman and Satta 'Tree-Adjoining Grammars are not closed under strong lexicalization' *Computational Linguistics* 2012

The class of dependency structures induced by this formalism is the class of well-nested structures with block degree at most two.
D(TAG) = D₂ ∩ D_{wn}

- The class of dependency structures induced by this formalism is the class of well-nested structures with block degree at most two. $\mathcal{D}(TAG) = \mathcal{D}_2 \cap \mathcal{D}_{wn}$
- Traversal Strategy: ВLOCк-ORDER-COLLECT

- The class of dependency structures induced by this formalism is the class of well-nested structures with block degree at most two. $\mathcal{D}(TAG) = \mathcal{D}_2 \cap \mathcal{D}_{wn}$
- Traversal Strategy: ВLOCк-ORDER-COLLECT
- Derivation Trees are terms over the signature of elementary trees.

 $\{a^n b^n c^n d^n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

Running Example



LMNLP 18/26

Derived Tree

aaabbbccc



Linearization

• For the linearization of the elementaries trees in the grammar we should keep in mind the way we will produce a string by means fo that tree.

Linearization

• For the linearization of the elementaries trees in the grammar we should keep in mind the way we will produce a string by means fo that tree.



Term



・ロト ・御ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Recall that an order anotation ω is well-nested if it does not contain a string of the form *ijij* as a scattered substring, for *i* ≠ *j* ∈ N Denote by Ω_{wn} the set of all well-nested order anotations.

- Recall that an order anotation ω is well-nested if it does not contain a string of the form *ijij* as a scattered substring, for *i* ≠ *j* ∈ N Denote by Ω_{wn} the set of all well-nested order anotations.
- The set of order anotations for the terms of this formalism is well-nested.

- Recall that an order anotation ω is well-nested if it does not contain a string of the form *ijij* as a scattered substring, for *i* ≠ *j* ∈ N Denote by Ω_{wn} the set of all well-nested order anotations.
- The set of order anotations for the terms of this formalism is well-nested.
- **Theorem 5.2.1** A dependency structure \mathcal{D} is well-nested if and only if $term(\mathcal{D}) \in T_{\Omega_{wn}}$



Linearization



LMNLP 24 / 26

 Recall the coarsest congruence relation over a set that we are using: Lemma 4.1.1 Let 𝔅 = (A; ≤) be a chain. S ⊆ A. Define ≡_S as follows: a ≡_S b iff ∀c ∈ [a, b], c ∈ S

- Recall the coarsest congruence relation over a set that we are using: **Lemma 4.1.1** Let $\mathfrak{C} = (A; \leq)$ be a chain. $S \subseteq A$. Define \equiv_S as follows: $a \equiv_S b$ iff $\forall c \in [a, b], c \in S$
- To visualize the segmentation of D, consider the the congruence relation of [u]/ ≡_{Lu}

- Recall the coarsest congruence relation over a set that we are using: **Lemma 4.1.1** Let $\mathfrak{C} = (A; \leq)$ be a chain. $S \subseteq A$. Define \equiv_S as follows: $a \equiv_S b$ iff $\forall c \in [a, b], c \in S$
- To visualize the segmentation of D, consider the the congruence relation of [u]/ ≡_{Lu}
- Note that [11]/ ≡_[11] consists of two blocks, and any other node has block degree one.

- Recall the coarsest congruence relation over a set that we are using: **Lemma 4.1.1** Let $\mathfrak{C} = (A; \leq)$ be a chain. $S \subseteq A$. Define \equiv_S as follows: $a \equiv_S b$ iff $\forall c \in [a, b], c \in S$
- To visualize the segmentation of D, consider the the congruence relation of [u]/ ≡_{Lu}
- Note that [11]/ ≡[11] consists of two blocks, and any other node has block degree one.
- Thus the block degree of our dependency structure is two.


Thanks