

Opgaves Wiskunde voor CKI, uitwerking

18 December 2004

- Consider $f(x) := \tan(x)$. Then $f : (-\pi, \pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bijectively.
 - Consider $f(x) = -2^x$. Then $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (-\infty, 0)$ bijectively.
 - In order to bijectively map $[0, 1]$ to $[0, 1)$ consider a sequence of numbers $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots$. Define:

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}, & \text{if } x = \frac{1}{2^n}, \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}; \\ x, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify that this function is, indeed, a bijection.

- First, using the previous problem bijectively map $[0, \frac{1}{2})$ to $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$. Second, identically map $(\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ to $(\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. Then combine the two mappings into one from $[0, 1] \setminus \{\frac{1}{2}\}$ to $[0, 1]$.
- If the set of all irrational numbers $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ were countable, then so would be its union with a countable set \mathbb{Q} . But we know that \mathbb{R} is uncountable by Cantor's theorem.
 - Show that \mathbb{R} and $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$, the set of all subsets of \mathbb{N} , are equivalent.

We only outline the idea of a proof. There is a bijection between subsets of \mathbb{N} and functions $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ (i.e., infinite binary sequences). Indeed, we map a subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ to its characteristic function

$$f(n) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \in A; \\ 0, & \text{if } n \notin A. \end{cases}$$

Conversely, having a sequence f we associate with it the set $A := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : f(n) = 1\}$. These two mappings are mutually inverse, hence establish a bijection.

Any binary sequence $a_1a_2a_3 \dots$ corresponds to a real number in the interval $[0, 1)$ whose binary representation is $0.a_1a_2a_3 \dots$. This mapping is surjective but not injective, because of the sequences ending with $11111 \dots$. There are several ways to overcome this difficulty. We establish a bijection between all sequences and ‘good’ sequences (not ending with $1111 \dots$).

‘Bad’ sequences have the form $\alpha 1111 \dots$, where α is a finite sequence not ending with a 1. We map such a sequence to $\alpha 10101010 \dots$. In turn, $\alpha 10101010 \dots$ should be mapped somewhere, e.g. to the sequence $\alpha 100100100100 \dots$. In general, period of the form $1 \underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{n \text{ times}}$ will be replaced by $1 \underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{n+1 \text{ times}}$. All other sequences will not be changed. One can easily verify that this procedure bijectively maps all sequences to good sequences.

This shows that $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ is equivalent to $[0, 1)$.

Using the method analogous to the one from Problem 1, it is not difficult to establish a bijection between $[0, 1)$ and the whole of \mathbb{R} .

4. *Using Cantor’s diagonal method show that there is no bijection between A and $\mathcal{P}(A)$, for any set A .*

Proof: Assume that $f : A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A)$ is a bijection and g is its inverse. Define $B := \{x \in A : x \notin f(x)\}$. Let $b := g(B)$, then $f(b) = B$. We have by the definition of B (as in Russel’s Paradox)

$$b \in B \iff b \notin f(b) \iff b \notin B.$$

A contradiction.

Analyze this proof and see in that this argument boils down to the usual proof of Cantor’s theorem if one considers a representation of \mathbb{R} as the set of all infinite binary sequences.

5. *Let A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots be an infinite sequence of countable sets A_i . Show that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ is countable.*

Hint: the argument is almost the same as in the proof of the fact that countable sets are closed under cartesian product.

6. Show that if A is a countable set, then the set of all finite sequences of elements of A is countable. (A finite sequence of length n is a function $f : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow A$.)

One can represent the set of all finite sequences as

$$A \cup A^2 \cup A^3 \cup \dots$$

We know that if A is countable then so are A^2, A^3 , etc. (formally, one proves it by induction on n). So, the statement reduces to the previous one.

7. A number $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ is called a quadratic irrationality, if it is a root of an equation of the form

$$ax^2 + bx + c = 0,$$

with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}$. Show that the set of all quadratic irrationalities is countable.

There is a surjective function from \mathbb{Q}^3 to the union of \mathbb{Q} and the set of quadratic irrationalities. Map $\langle a, b, c \rangle \in \mathbb{Q}^3$ to the minimal root of the corresponding equation $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$, if there is such a root, and to 0, otherwise. But \mathbb{Q}^3 is countable.