

# Models of provability logic

David Fernández Duque

Universidad de Sevilla

First International Wormshop  
17 April 2012

# Gödel-Löb logic

Language:

$$p \quad \neg\varphi \quad \varphi \wedge \psi \quad \Box\varphi$$

Axioms:

- ▶  $\Box(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\Box\varphi \rightarrow \Box\psi)$
- ▶  $\Box(\Box\varphi \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow \Box\varphi$  (Löb's axiom)

Second incompleteness theorem:

$$\Box\Diamond T \rightarrow \Box\perp$$

# Arithmetic interpretation

An **arithmetic interpretation** assigns a formula  $p^*$  in the language of arithmetic to each propositional variable  $p$ .

- ▶  $p \mapsto p^*$
- ▶  $\square \mapsto Prv_{PA}$

## Theorem (Solovay)

*If  $GL \not\vdash \varphi$  then for some arithmetic interpretation  $*$ ,  $PA \not\vdash \varphi^*$ .*

# Relational semantics

## Kripke models:

- ▶ Frames: Well-founded partial orders  $\langle W, < \rangle$
- ▶ Valuations:  $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket \subseteq \mathcal{P}(W)$ ,

$$w \in \llbracket \Box \varphi \rrbracket \Leftrightarrow \forall v < w, v \in \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket$$

## Theorem

*GL is sound for  $\langle W, < \rangle$  if and only if  $<$  is well-founded.*

*Further, GL is complete for the class of well-founded frames and enjoys the finite model property.*

# Topological semantics:

- ▶ GL-spaces: **scattered** topological spaces  $\langle X, \mathcal{T} \rangle$   
**Scattered:** Every non-empty subset contains an isolated point.
- ▶ Valuations:  $dA$  is the set of **limit points** of  $A$ .

$$\llbracket \diamond \varphi \rrbracket = d \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket .$$

GL is also **sound and complete** for this interpretation.

# Some scattered spaces

- ▶ A finite partial order  $\langle W, \leq \rangle$  with the **downset topology**
- ▶ An ordinal  $\xi$  with the **initial segment topology**
- ▶ An ordinal  $\xi$  with the **order topology**

## Non-scattered:

- ▶ The real line
- ▶ The rational numbers
- ▶ The Cantor set

# Polymodal Gödel-Löb

**GLP:** Contains one modality  $[n]$  for each  $n < \omega$ .

**Axioms:**

$$\begin{array}{ll} [n](\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow ([n]\varphi \rightarrow [n]\psi) & (n < \omega) \\ [n]([n]\varphi \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow [n]\varphi & (n < \omega) \\ [n]\varphi \rightarrow [m]\varphi & (n < m < \omega) \\ \langle n \rangle \varphi \rightarrow [m]\langle n \rangle \varphi & (n < m < \omega) \end{array}$$

**(Possible) arithmetic interpretation:**

$[n]\varphi \equiv$  “ $\varphi$  is provable using  $n$  instances of the  $\omega$ -rule”.

Introduced by Japaridze in 1988.

# Kripke semantics

Frames:

$$\langle W, \langle \prec_n \rangle_{n < \omega} \rangle$$

$$[n]([n]\varphi \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow [n]\varphi:$$

Valid iff  $\prec_n$  is well-founded

$$[n]\varphi \rightarrow [n+1]\varphi:$$

Valid iff  $w \prec_{n+1} v \Rightarrow w \prec_n v$

$$\langle n \rangle \varphi \rightarrow [n+1] \langle n \rangle \varphi:$$

Valid iff

$$v \prec_n w \text{ and } u \prec_{n+1} w \Rightarrow v \prec_n u$$

Even  $\text{GLP}_2$  has **no non-trivial Kripke models**.



# Topological semantics

Spaces:

$$\langle X, \langle \mathcal{T}_n \rangle_{n < \omega} \rangle$$

Write  $d_n$  for the limit point operator on  $\mathcal{T}_n$ .

$[n]([n]\varphi \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow [n]\varphi$ : Valid iff  $\mathcal{T}_n$  is scattered

$[n]\varphi \rightarrow [n+1]\varphi$ : Valid iff  $\mathcal{T}_n \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{n+1}$

$\langle n \rangle \varphi \rightarrow [n+1]\langle n \rangle \varphi$ : Valid iff

$$A \subseteq X \Rightarrow d_n A \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1}$$

# Topological completeness

**Beklemishev, Gabelaia:** GLP is complete for the class of GLP-spaces

The proof uses **non-constructive** methods.

**Blass:** It is consistent with ZFC that the **canonical ordinal spaces** for  $\text{GLP}_2$  are all trivial

**Beklemishev:** It is also consistent with ZFC that  $\text{GLP}_2$  is complete for its canonical ordinal spaces

**Bagaria** More generally, **for all  $n$**  it is consistent with ZFC that  $\text{GLP}_n$  has non-trivial canonical ordinal spaces but  $\text{GLP}_{n+1}$  does not.

# The closed fragment

Written  $\text{GLP}^0$ , it does not allow propositional variables (only  $\perp$ ).

**Beklemishev:**  $\text{GLP}^0_\omega$  may be used to perform ordinal analysis of PA, its natural subtheories and some extensions.

## Theorem (Ignatiev)

*There is a Kripke frame  $\mathfrak{J}$  such that  $\text{GLP}^0_\omega$  is sound and complete for  $\mathfrak{J}$ .*

# Ignatiev's model of GLP<sup>0</sup>

Given an ordinal  $\xi = \alpha + \omega^\beta$ , define  $l\xi = \beta$  ( $l0 = 0$ ).

Ignatiev's model:

$$\mathfrak{I} = \langle D, \langle <_n \rangle_{n < \omega} \rangle$$

- ▶  $D = \{f : \omega \rightarrow \varepsilon_0 : \forall n f(n+1) \leq lf(n)\}$
- ▶  $f <_n g$  if  $f(m) = g(m)$  for  $m < n$  and  $f(n) < g(n)$

Example:

$$\langle \omega^{\omega+1}, \omega, 0, \dots \rangle <_2 \langle \omega^{\omega+1}, \omega, 1, 0, \dots \rangle$$

# Frame conditions

Ignatiev's model **does not satisfy all frame conditions.**

$[n]([n]\varphi \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow [n]\varphi$ :

$<_n$  is based on an ordinal and hence well-founded

$[n]\varphi \rightarrow [n+1]\varphi$ :

$$\langle \omega, 1, 0, \dots \rangle \not<_0^1 \langle \omega, 0, 0, \dots \rangle$$

$\langle n \rangle \varphi \rightarrow [n+1]\langle n \rangle \varphi$ :

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \langle \omega^\omega, 0, 0, 0, \dots \rangle & <_1 & \langle \omega^\omega, \omega, 1, 0, \dots \rangle \\ \langle \omega^\omega, \omega, 0, 0, \dots \rangle & <_2 & \langle \omega^\omega, \omega, 1, 0, \dots \rangle \\ \hline \langle \omega^\omega, 0, 0, 0, \dots \rangle & <_1 & \langle \omega^\omega, \omega, 0, 0, \dots \rangle \end{array}$$

# The main axis

## Definition

A sequence  $f : \omega \rightarrow \varepsilon_0$  is **exact** if for all  $n$ ,

$$f(n+1) = \ell f(n).$$

**Main axis:** Set of exact sequences.

## Lemma

*Every closed formula which is satisfied on  $\mathfrak{J}$  is satisfied on the main axis.*

Is  $\text{GLP}^0$  sound for  $\mathfrak{J}$ ?

# Icard topologies

Icard defined a structure

$$\mathfrak{T} = \langle \varepsilon_0, \langle \mathcal{T}_n \rangle_{n < \omega} \rangle.$$

Generalized intervals:

$$(\alpha, \beta)_n = \{\vartheta : \alpha < \ell^n \vartheta < \beta\}.$$

$\mathcal{T}_n$  is generated by intervals of the form

- ▶  $(\alpha, \beta)_m$  for  $m < n$
- ▶  $[0, \beta)_m$  for  $m \leq n$

# Topological conditions

Icard's model does not satisfy all frame conditions either.

$[n]([n]\varphi \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow [n]\varphi$ :

$\mathcal{T}_n$  is scattered since  $\mathcal{T}_0$  is.

$[n]\varphi \rightarrow [n+1]\varphi$ :  $\mathcal{T}_{n+1}$  is always a refinement of  $\mathcal{T}_n$ .

$\langle n \rangle \varphi \rightarrow \langle n+1 \rangle \varphi$ : The point

$$\omega^\omega = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \omega^n$$

should be isolated in  $\mathcal{T}_2$ .



# Ignatiev vs. Icard

Define  $\vec{l}: \varepsilon_0 \rightarrow D$  by

$$\vec{l}\xi = \langle \xi, l\xi, l^2\xi, \dots, l^n\xi, \dots \rangle$$

## Lemma

For every  $\xi < \varepsilon_0$ ,

$$\langle \mathcal{T}, \xi \rangle \models \varphi \Leftrightarrow \langle \mathcal{I}, \vec{l}\xi \rangle \models \varphi$$

## Corollary

$\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  satisfy the same set of formulas.

# Soundness and completeness

## Theorem

$GLP^0$  is sound for both  $\mathfrak{J}$  and  $\mathfrak{T}$ .

## Proof.

$[n]([n]\varphi \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow [n]\varphi$ : Valid on both  $\mathfrak{J}$  and  $\mathfrak{T}$ .

$[n]\varphi \rightarrow [n+1]\varphi$ : Valid on  $\mathfrak{T}$ .

$\langle n \rangle \varphi \rightarrow [n+1]\langle n \rangle \varphi$ : Valid on  $\mathfrak{J}$ . □

## Theorem (Ignatiev, Icard)

$GLP^0$  is complete for both  $\mathfrak{J}$  and  $\mathfrak{T}$ .

## Concluding remarks

- ▶ GL is very nice as a modal logic, but only takes us so far
- ▶ GLP is very useful! (Ordinal analysis, ordinal notation systems, unprovable statements...)
- ▶ **But** GLP is tougher to work with
  - ▶ No Kripke frames
  - ▶ Topological completeness is **hard**
- ▶ The closed fragment gives us a good **middle ground**
- ▶ Here we have Kripke models, **simple** topological models.
- ▶ **Future work:** Generalize to **stronger theories**  
**Tomorrow's talk:** Modalities beyond  $\omega$ ??

Thank you!