

On sets, functions and relations

Some solutions

Rosalie Iemhoff

December 20, 2007

1 Exercises Chapter 2

1. $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \exists m \in \mathbb{N}(n = m^2)\}$.
3. For example $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \exists m \in \mathbb{Z}(n = 3m)\}$, $\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n \geq 0 \text{ and } (n/3) \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, and $\{0, 3, 6, 9, 12, \dots\}$.
8. $\{0, 1\}$.
- 9.

$$\begin{aligned}x \in C \setminus (A \cap B) &\Leftrightarrow x \in C \text{ and } x \notin A \cap B \\&\Leftrightarrow x \in C \text{ and } (x \notin A \text{ or } x \notin B) \\&\Leftrightarrow (x \in C \text{ and } x \notin A) \text{ or } (x \in C \text{ and } x \notin B) \\&\Leftrightarrow x \in (C \setminus A) \cup (C \setminus B).\end{aligned}$$

12. $\emptyset \in P(X)$ because $\emptyset \subseteq X$, for any set X . Also $X \in P(X)$, as $X \subseteq X$.
13. Assume $X \subseteq Y$ and $Y \subseteq Z$. We show that $X \subseteq Z$. That is, that $\forall x(x \in X \rightarrow x \in Z)$. Therefore, assume $x \in X$. Then $x \in Y$ because $X \subseteq Y$. But then $x \in Z$ since $Y \subseteq Z$.
14. $\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{1, 4\}, \{2, 3\}, \{2, 4\}, \{3, 4\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{1, 3, 4\}, \{2, 3, 4\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.
15. $2^6 = 64$ subsets. \mathbb{N} clearly has infinitely many subsets.

2 Exercises Chapter 3

1. $\{\langle r, s \rangle \mid r, s \in \mathbb{R}, r = \sqrt{s}\}$ or $\{\langle r, s \rangle \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid r^2 = s\}$.
2. $\{\langle a, a \rangle, \langle a, c \rangle, \langle a, d \rangle, \langle b, a \rangle, \langle b, c \rangle, \langle b, d \rangle\}$.
3. The diagonal.
4. The negative fractions.
5. The pairs of reals which sum is a rational number. Let us call this relation R . R is symmetric: $\langle r, s \rangle \in R$ implies $(r+s) \in \mathbb{Q}$, which implies $(s+r) \in \mathbb{Q}$, which implies $\langle s, r \rangle \in R$. The relation is not linear: neither $\langle \pi, 2\pi \rangle \in R$, nor $\pi = 2\pi$, nor $\langle 2\pi, \pi \rangle \in R$.
6. The relation $R = \{\langle n, m \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid n^2 = m\}$ is not dense: $\langle 2, 4 \rangle \in R$ since $2^2 = 4$, but there is no $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\langle 2, k \rangle \in R$ and $\langle k, 4 \rangle \in R$, as this would imply both $k = 2^2$ and $k^2 = 4$, i.e. $k = 4$ and $k = 2$ or $k = -2$.
9. The cartesian product of two sets is a set of pairs, thus it has always arity 2.
10. Let us start with the following observation. If we let R denote $A \times B$, then

$$aRb \Leftrightarrow a \in A \text{ and } b \in B.$$

Now we turn to the exercise. It contains a mistake. It should read: prove that $A \times B$ is serial if and only if B is not empty or A is empty. Recall that seriality of R means $\forall a \in A \exists b \in B aRb$. Thus, using the observation above, seriality in this case boils down to $\forall a \in A \exists b \in B$. Observe that $\forall a \in A \exists b \in B$ exactly holds when A is empty or B is not empty. This proves that $A \times B$ is serial if and only if B is not empty or A is empty.

Next we show that $A \times B$ is symmetric if and only if $A = B$ or A or B is empty (so also in this case the exercise in the notes contains a mistake). Recall that R is symmetric if $\forall a \forall b (aRb \rightarrow bRa)$. By the observation above, in this case symmetry means $\forall a \forall b (a \in A \wedge b \in B \rightarrow b \in A \wedge a \in B)$. This holds exactly when $A = B$ or A is empty or B is empty. Thus we have shown that $A \times B$ is symmetric if and only if $A = B$ or A is empty or B is empty.

12. We treat some cases. Given the relation $R \subseteq A^2$ and set $B \subseteq A$. Reflexivity is subset-hereditary. Suppose R is reflexive. Then for every $b \in B$, bRb holds, because R is reflexive on A and $b \in A$. Thus $R_{\upharpoonright B}$ is reflexive. Transitivity is subset-hereditary. Suppose R is transitive, and let $a, b, c \in B$ and $aR_{\upharpoonright B}bR_{\upharpoonright B}c$. Then, since $R_{\upharpoonright B}$ is just the restriction of R to B also $aRbRc$. But R is transitive, whence aRc . But $a, c \in B$, thus $aR_{\upharpoonright B}c$, and this proves that $R_{\upharpoonright B}$ is transitive.

Density is not subset-hereditary. We show this by giving a counter example. The relation $<$ on \mathbb{Q} is dense. \mathbb{N} is a subset of \mathbb{Q} . But $<$ on \mathbb{N} is not dense, that is, $<_{\uparrow\mathbb{N}}$ is not dense.

Seriality is not subset-hereditary. We show this by giving a counter example. The relation $<$ on \mathbb{N} is serial. $\{0\}$ is a subset of \mathbb{N} . But there is no $x \in \{0\}$ such that $0 < x$. Thus $<_{\uparrow\{0\}}$ is not serial.

13. We have to show that $(\langle a, b \rangle = \langle c, d \rangle) \Leftrightarrow (a = c \wedge b = d)$.
 \Rightarrow : suppose $\langle a, b \rangle = \langle c, d \rangle$. Unwinding the definition of ordered pair this means that $\{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\} = \{\{c\}, \{c, d\}\}$. This implies $a = c$ and $b = d$.
 \Leftarrow : if $a = c$ and $b = d$, then of course $\langle a, b \rangle = \langle c, d \rangle$.
14. Because with these definitions one cannot distinguish which element of the ordered pair should come first.
15. $\{\langle a, b \rangle\}$ is, by definition, the set $\{\{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\}\}$. Hence $\{a\} \notin \{\langle a, b \rangle\}$ and $\{b\} \notin \{\langle a, b \rangle\}$.
16. $\{\langle 1, 2 \rangle\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ means $\langle 1, 2 \rangle \in \mathbb{N}$, quod non. Thus $\{\langle 1, 2 \rangle\} \not\subseteq \mathbb{N}$. $\{\langle 1, 2 \rangle\} \subseteq P(\mathbb{N})$ means $\langle 1, 2 \rangle \in P(\mathbb{N})$. But $\langle 1, 2 \rangle = \{\{1\}, \{1, 2\}\}$, which is not an element of $P(\mathbb{N})$ since it is not a subset of \mathbb{N} . Thus $\{\langle 1, 2 \rangle\} \not\subseteq P(\mathbb{N})$.
18. No, $1R2$ and $1R3$ but not $2R3$. To make it transitive an arrow from 0 to 3 has to be added.
18. Serial and well-founded, but not dense: $a_1 R b_1$, but no x such that $a_1 R x R b_1$.
20. $\leq_{\mathbb{N}}$.
21. We have to show that \leftrightarrow is reflexive, transitive and symmetric. Reflexivity is clear: $\varphi \leftrightarrow \varphi$ for all formulas φ . Transitivity is: if $\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$ and $\psi \leftrightarrow \phi$, then $\varphi \leftrightarrow \phi$. But this is clearly true. Finally, symmetry follows easily too: if $\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$, then $\psi \leftrightarrow \varphi$.
24. Two elements. For with one element, say 0, $P(\{0\}) = \{\emptyset, \{0\}\}$ which is totally ordered, and for \emptyset , $P(\emptyset) = \{\emptyset\}$, which is totally ordered too.