

# Public-key cryptography

Rosalie Iemhoff

Public-key cryptography is a way to encode messages via a public (encoding) key, such that the receiver is the only one who can decode it.

There are two algorithms: an *encoding* algorithm  $E(e, y)$  that given the number  $e$  encodes a message  $x$  into a number  $E(e, x)$ , and a *decoding* algorithm  $D(d, y)$  that given the number  $d$  decodes a message  $y$  in such a way that if  $y = E(e, x)$ , then  $D(d, y) = x$ , that is,  $D(d, E(e, x)) = x$ .

The intriguing thing is that the receiver, Bob, can construct the numbers  $d$  and  $e$  in such a way that key  $e$  could be made public, known to everybody, while  $d$  is kept private, only known to Bob. The point is that it is computationally infeasible to obtain  $d$  from  $e$ , that is, it cannot be done in polynomial time, given that  $\text{NP} \neq \text{P}$ . Thus knowing  $e$  will not help to decode the encoded message  $E(e, x)$ .

How does Bob construct  $e$  and  $d$ ? The method used for this is called RSA after the inventors Ron Rivest, Adi Shamir, and Len Adleman, who proposed this construction in 1978. It works as follows. Recall that  $(y = x \bmod z)$  means that  $x$  is the rest of  $y$  divided by  $z$ .

Bob picks two large prime numbers  $p$  and  $q$  and computes a number  $e$  that is relative prime to  $n$  ( $e$  and  $n$  have no common divisors besides 1), where  $n = \phi(pq) = pq - p - q + 1$ .  $pq$  is  $p$  times  $q$ , and  $\phi(n)$  is the *Euler function* on  $n$ , which value is the number of numbers smaller than  $n$  that are relative prime to it. We omit the technical details here, it is enough to know that this function has one specific property described below.

Bob announces both  $e$  and  $pq$ . This is the public encryption key known to all. Bob also constructs a number  $d$  such that  $ed = 1 \bmod n$  that he keeps private. Thus  $ed = 1 + mn$  for some number  $m$ .

Suppose Alice would like to send an encoded message  $x$  to Bob. Using the public key she computes the number  $(x^e \bmod pq)$ , let us call it  $y$ . Thus the encoding  $E(e, x)$  equals  $(x^e \bmod pq)$ . Alice sends  $y$  to Bob.

When Bob receives  $y$  he simply raises it to the  $d$ th power:  $y^d$ . Thus the decoding  $D(d, y)$  equals  $y^d$ . Now

$$y^d = x^{ed} = x^{1+mn} \bmod pq = x \bmod pq.$$

We are not going to prove these identities, but for those who would like to know the details: it is based on Fermat's Little Theorem which implies that  $x^{mn} \bmod pq = 1 \bmod pq$ . The theorem can easily be found on the web. Thus Bob divides  $y^d$  by  $pq$  and knows that the rest he finds is the message from Alice.

Why is this algorithm safe? It is a mathematical fact, which we will not prove, that  $d$  can be computed from  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $e$  using Euclid's algorithm. Euclid's algorithm runs in polynomial time, but finding the prime factorization of a number is not known to be in  $\mathbf{P}$ , although it clearly is in  $\mathbf{NP}$ . Thus knowing  $pq$  will not help us find  $p$  and  $q$  efficiently, and thereby keeps this system, that is used every second over the whole world, safe. However, when it would turn out that  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{NP}$ , an efficient algorithm might be found, and who knows what happens then ...