

**EXERCISE CLASS 2-12-2016:  
EXERCISES ON PDL**

- (1) Correspondence for **PDL**-formulas.
  - (a)  $\langle \pi_1; \pi_2 \rangle p \leftrightarrow \langle \pi_1 \rangle \langle \pi_2 \rangle p$  is valid on a frame  $(W, R_{\pi_1}, R_{\pi_2})$  iff  $R_{\pi_1; \pi_2} = R_{\pi_1} \circ R_{\pi_2}$ .<sup>1</sup>
  - (b)  $\langle \pi_1 \cup \pi_2 \rangle p \leftrightarrow \langle \pi_1 \rangle p \vee \langle \pi_2 \rangle p$  is valid on a frame  $(W, R_{\pi_1}, R_{pi_2})$  iff  $R_{\pi_1 \cup \pi_2} = R_{\pi_1} \cup R_{\pi_2}$ .
  - (c)  $p \vee \langle \pi \rangle \langle \pi^* \rangle p \rightarrow \langle \pi^* \rangle p$  is valid on a frame  $(W, R_{\pi}, R_{\pi^*})$  iff  $(R_{\pi})^* \subseteq R_{\pi^*}$ .
- (2) A logic  $L$  is *compact for the class  $\mathcal{C}$  of Kripke frames* if the following condition is met: for every set of formulas  $\Sigma$ , if every finite subset of  $\Sigma$  is satisfiable in a model based on a frame in  $\mathcal{C}$ , then  $\Sigma$  itself can be satisfied in a model based on a frame in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Show that **PDL** is not compact for the class of regular frames.
- (3) Show that the induction axiom  $[\pi^*](p \rightarrow [\pi]p) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow [\pi^*]p)$  is not canonical.
- (4) Let  $\Sigma$  be a non-empty finite set of formulas in the language of **PDL**. Prove that  $At(\Sigma) = \{\Gamma \cap \neg FL(\Sigma) : \Gamma \text{ a } \mathbf{PDL}\text{-MCS}\}$ .
- (5) Prove the properties (i)–(iv) of atoms listed in Lemma 4.81.
- (6) Show that the finite models defined in the **PDL** completeness proof can be obtained (up to isomorphism) via certain filtrations.

1. ADDITIONAL EXERCISES

- (1) Explain why **PDL** is a decidable logic.
- (2) Let  $\Sigma$  be a non-empty finite set of **PDL**-formulas. Show that  $\vdash_{\mathbf{PDL}} \bigvee_{A \in At(\Sigma)} \hat{A}$ .
- (3) Let  $\Sigma = \{\varphi\}$  be a singleton set (of formulas in the language of **PDL**). Show that  $\neg FL(\Sigma)$  is finite. *Hint: This is not so easy.*

---

<sup>1</sup>In BdrV  $R_{\pi_1} \circ R_{\pi_2}$  is also denoted  $R_{\pi_1; \pi_2}$ .